A DREAM"

Civil Rights

been the veterans of creative suffering. Continue to work with the faith that suffering is redemptive.

Go back to Mississippi, go back to Alahama, go back to South Carellens back to Georgia, go back to Louisiana, go back to the signal and allers modern cities, knowing that somehow this attuation can not will be charged us not wallow to the valley of despair.

I say to you today, my friends, that in spile of the cilifouties and the tildate of the moment I still have a dream, it is a dream deeply control in the Armaleia.

I have a dream that one day this nation will rise up and live out the sea meaning of its creed: "We hold these troths to be self-evident that all men a treated equal."

I have a dream that one day on the red fills of Georgia the sons of larges and the sons of former slaveowners will be able to all dawn the the table of brotherhood.

I have a dream that one day even the state of Mississippi, a descript aweltering with the heat of injestice and oppression, will be transferred trans of freedom and justice.

I have a dream that my four little children will one day her to they will not be judged by the color of their skin but by the color of their skin but by the colors.

I have a dream today.

I have a dream that one day the state of Alabama, whose presently dripping with the words of interposition and null beat transformed into a situation where little black boys and black little white boys and white girls and walk together brothers.

I have a dream today

I have a dream that one day every valley shall be examed, every lift

r King, Jr. '48 28, 1963 n Memorial

Wilson chapter 19 Klein Oak High School

Introduction

Go back to Mississippi, so back to Alabama, so back to South Carollen

- Civil rights issue
 - Group is denied access to facilities, opportunities, or services available to other groups
 - Issue is whether differences in treatment are reasonable
 - Some differences are, for example, progressive taxes
 - Some are not, for example, classifications by race or ethnicity are subject to especially strict scrutiny

The black predicament 1

Go back to Mississippi, so back to Alabama, so back to South Carollina

- Perceived costs of granting black rights were not widely shared
 - Costs were concentrated in small, easily organized populations—interest group politics
 - Blacks were at a disadvantage in interest group politics since they were not able to vote in many areas and often lacked the resources for effective political organizing
- Majoritarian politics also worked against blacks
 - Lynchings shocked some whites, but little was done
 - General white public opinion was opposed to black rights
 - Jim Crow laws

The black predicament 2

Go lack to Mississippi, so back to Alabama, so back to South Carolina

- Progress depended on . . .
 - Finding more white allies, or
 - Shifting to policy-making arenas where whites had less of an advantage
- Civil rights movement did both
 - Broadened base by publicizing the denial to blacks of essential, widely accepted liberties
 - Moved their legal and political struggle from Congress to the federal courts

Go back to Mississippi, so back to Alabama, so back to South Carollina

- Ambiguities in the Fourteenth Amendment
 - Broad interpretation: the Constitution is colorblind, so no differential treatment is acceptable
 - Narrow interpretation: equal legal rights, but blacks and whites could otherwise be treated differently
 - Supreme Court adopted narrow view in *Plessy* v.
 Ferguson (1896)

A have a decam testay

I have a dream that one day every valley shall be exalted

Go back to Mississippi, so back to Alabama, so back to South Carollina

- "Separate but equal"
 - NAACP campaign relied on courts—litigation didn't require broad coalitions, so the organization could remain nonpartisan
 - NAACP strategy went through a series of stages:
 - Persuade the Supreme Court to declare unconstitutional the laws creating schools that were separate but obviously unequal
 - Then persuade the Supreme Court to declare unconstitutional the laws creating schools that were separate but not so obviously unequal
 - Then have the Supreme Court rule that separate schools are inherently unequal and therefore unconstitutional

Go back to Mississippl, so back to Alabama, so back to South Carolina

- Can separate schools be equal?
 - Step 1: obvious inequalities, addressed in 1938– 1948 cases
 - Step 2: deciding that separation creates inequality in less obvious cases
 - Step 3: declaring that separation is inherently unequal, Brown v. Board of Education

The second of the second of the second

I have a dream that one day every valley shall be exalted

- Brown v. Board of Education (1954)
 - Unanimous Supreme Court opinion overturned Plessy
 - Implementing the decision
 - Class action suit that applied to all similarly situated black children
 - "All deliberate speed" met great resistance
 - Southern Manifesto
 - Collapse of resistance in the 1970s was due to numerous political changes
 - The rationale for the decision
 - Segregation detrimental, creating sense of inferiority in black students
 - Relied on social science because the Fourteenth Amendment was not necessarily intended to abolish segregated schools and the Court sought a unanimous opinion

Go back to Mississippi, so back to Alahama, so back to South Carrillon

- Desegregation versus integration—what does each require?
 - De jure (South) and de facto (North) segregation
 - De jure = imposed by law
 - De facto = in reality
 - 1968 rejection of "freedom of choice" plan because it did not produce a unitary, nonracial system of education
 - Charlotte-Mecklenburg (1971) set guidelines for school integration cases
 - To violate the Constitution, a school system must have intended to discriminate
 - One-race school creates presumption of intent
 - Remedies for past discrimination can include quotas, busing, redrawn district lines
 - Not every school must reflect the racial composition of the entire system

Go back to Mississippi, so back to Alahama, so back to South Carolina

- Intercity busing could be authorized only if both the city and the suburbs had practiced segregation
- Importance of intent was that the Supreme Court will not constantly redraw district lines or bus routes
 - White flight may create single race schools
 - Integrated schools are usually found in integrated neighborhoods and quality school systems
- Busing remains controversial
 - Presidents Nixon, Ford, Reagan opposed busing
 - Congress torn; only minor restrictions passed
- 1992 decision allows busing to end if segregation was caused solely by segregated housing patterns

Go back to Mississippi, so back to Alabama, so back to bould Carollina

- Get issues on the political agenda by mobilizing opinion by dramatic events
 - Sit-ins and freedom rides, voter registration efforts
 - Martin Luther King, Jr., Rosa Parks—Montgomery bus boycott
 - From nonviolent civil disobedience to the "long, hot summers" of racial violence (1964–1968)

I have a dream today.

I have a dream that one day every valley shall be exalted

Go back to Mississippi, so back to Alabama, so back to South Carolina

- Mixed results
 - Agenda-setting success
 - Coalition-building setbacks since demonstrations and riots were seen as law-breaking by many whites

```
I have a dream that my four little children will not day live
they will not be judged by the color of their skin but by the co-
cheracter.
```

I have a dream today.

I have a dream that one day the state of Alabama, whose propresently dripping with the words of interposition and neither to ransformed into a situation where little black boys and black prools hand with little white boys and white girls and walk together withers.

I have a dream tertay

I have a dream that one day every valley shall be exalled

King, Jr. '48

Go back to Mississippi, so back to Alabama, so back to South Carollina

- Legislative politics
 - Opponents had strong defensive positions
 - Senate Judiciary Committee controlled by southern Democrats
 - House Rules Committee controlled by Howard Smith (Virginia)
 - Senate filibuster threat
 - President Kennedy reluctant to submit strong civil rights legislation

Go back to Mississippi, so back to Alabama, so back to South Carolina

- Four developments broke this deadlock
 - Public opinion changed
 - Violent white reactions of segregationists received extensive coverage by the media
 - Kennedy assassination
 - 1964 Democratic landslide allowed northern Democrats to prevail in Congress

There is developed by

King, Jr. '48

- Five bills pass, 1957-1968
 - 1957, 1960, 1965: voting rights law
 - 1968: housing discrimination law
 - 1964 civil rights bill: the high point—employment, public accommodations, voting, schools
 - Effects since 1964
 - Mood of Congress has shifted and is now supportive of civil rights
 - 1988 overturn of Reagan's veto of the civil rights law
 - » Dramatic rise in black voting and change in white elite opinion

- Court review of gender-based classifications required the Supreme Court to decide about standards
 - Reasonableness standard versus strict scrutiny
 - Court chooses a blend—more than reasonable but not as much as strict scrutiny

- Gender-based differences are prohibited by the courts; applies to . . .
 - Age of adulthood
 - Drinking age
 - Arbitrary employee height-weight requirements
 - Mandatory pregnancy leaves
 - Little League exclusion
 - Business and professional associations
 - Retirement benefits
 - Salaries for high school coaches of girls and boys

- Gender-based differences allowed by courts
 - Statutory rape
 - All-boy/all-girl public schools
 - Widows' property tax exemption
 - Delayed promotions in Navy
 - V.M.I. (Virginia Military Institute) case came close to imposing strict scrutiny test

- The draft
 - Rostker v. Goldberg (1981): Congress may require men but not women to register for the draft
 - Secretary of defense in 1993 allowed women in air and sea combat positions, but not on ground combat positions

- Sexual harassment
 - Two forms:
 - Quid pro quo, sexual favors in return for holding the job or for promotion; employers are strictly liable
 - Hostile environment, creating a setting in which harassment impairs a person's ability to work, employers liable if they were negligent
 - Supreme Court position continues to evolve and standards are not yet clearly articulated

- Abortion
 - Decided by states until 1973
 - 1973: Roe v. Wade
 - Struck down Texas ban on abortion and all similar state laws
 - Woman's freedom to choose is protected by the Fourteenth Amendment
 - First trimester: no regulations
 - Second trimester: no ban but regulations to protect health of woman
 - Third trimester: abortion ban is possible

Women and equal rights 7 (Roe cont'd.)

- Critics claimed life begins at conception
 - Fetus is a person entitled to equal protection guaranteed by Fourteenth Amendment
 - Right-to-life, pro-life position
- Supporters said no one can know when life begins right to choose, pro-choice position
- Constitutional amendments to overturn Roe did not pass Congress
- Hyde amendment (1976): no federal funds for abortion except when woman's life endangered
 - Constitutionality upheld in 1980
- Gag order imposed under Bush, removed under Clinton

- 1973–1989: Supreme Court withstood attacks on Roe v. Wade
- Webster (1989): Court upheld some restrictions on abortions
- Casey decision (1992) does not overturn Roe but permits more restrictions: 24-hour wait, parental consent, pamphlets
- Struggle over abortion law has recently involved public demonstrations and violence
 - Courts must balance the right to protest and the clinic's right to function

Go luck to Mississippi, so back to Alahama, so back to South Carollina

Equality of results

- Racism and sexism can be overcome only by taking them into account in designing remedies
- Equal rights not enough; people need benefits
- Affirmative action should be used in hiring
- Supporters tend to be liberal and favor more choice in lifestyle decisions

Equality of opportunities

- Reverse discrimination occurs when race or sex is used as a basis for preferential treatment
- Laws should be color-blind and sex-neutral
- Government should only eliminate barriers
- Supporters tend to be conservative, favoring a traditional family arrangement

Go back to Mississippi, so back to Alabama, so back to South Carolina

- Issue has been fought out in the courts
 - No clear direction in Court decisions
 - Court is deeply divided—affected by conservative Reagan appointees
 - Law is complex and confusing
 - Bakke (1978): numerical minority quotas are not permissible, but race could be considered
 - But Court ruled otherwise in later cases

Go back to Mississippi, so back to Alabama, so back to South Carolina

- Emerging standards for quotas and preference systems
 - Quota system subjected to strict scrutiny must be a compelling state interest to justify quotas
 - Must correct an actual pattern of discrimination
 - Must identify actual practices that discriminate
 - Federal quotas will be given deference because the Constitution gives Congress greater power to correct the effects of racial discrimination
 - Voluntary preference systems may be easier to justify
 - Not likely to apply to persons who get laid off

Go back to Mississippi, so back to Alabama, so back to South Carollen

- Compensatory action (helping minorities catch up)
 versus preferential treatment (giving minorities
 preference, applying quotas)
 - Public supports the former but not the latter
 - In line with United States political culture
 - Support for individualism
 - Support for the needy
 - Hopwood v. State of Texas (1996): diversity is not such a sufficiently compelling state interest that it justifies racial preferences
 - is subject to strict scrutiny

Gays and the Supreme Court

Georgia case allows states to ban homosexual sexual activity

MATCHE BUILDING TO THE SECOND STREET

- Colorado case prohibits law that would deny homosexuals the "equal protection of the law"
- "Don't ask, don't tell" military policy
- Boston case allows people to exclude those with whom they disagree
- Homosexual civil rights status is therefore unclear

A DREAM"

been the veterans of creative suffering. Continue to work with the faith that amounted suffering is redemptive.

Go back to Mississippi, go back to Alabama, go back to South Carriers back to Georgia, go back to Louisiana, go back to the simus and alletted modern cities, knowing that somehow this attuation can and will be charged us not wallow to the valley of despair.

I say to you today, my friends, that is spile of the cilifouties and the Education of the moment I still have a dream, it is a dream deeply control in the Auto-Carlo.

I have a dream that one day this nation will rise up and live out the track meaning of its creed: "We hold these truths to be self-evident that all meaning treated equal."

I have a dream that one day on the red fills of Georgia the sense of slaves and the sons of former slaveowners will be able to all down the the table of brotherhood.

I have a dream that one day even the state of Misserippi, a descript aweltering with the heat of injestice and oppression, will be transferred to pasts of freedom and justice.

I have a dream that my four little children will am day her to a half they will not be judged by the color of their skin but by the control of character.

I have a dream today.

I have a dream that one day the state of Alabama, whose presently dripping with the words of interposition and militerature transformed into a situation where little black boys and black group join hand with little white boys and white girls and walk together brothers.

I have a dream today

I have a dream that one day every valley shall be examinated to the

King, Jr. '48 28, 1963 Memorial

The End!